

To Our Clients and Friends

Memorandum

friedfrank.com

SEC Settles Eight Enforcement Actions for Violations of Advertising Rule and Pay-to-Play Rule

The Advertising Rule Settlements

On July 10, 2018, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) announced five settlements (the “Advertising Rule Settlements”) in connection with violations of Section 206(4) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Advisers Act”) and Rule 206(4)-1(a)(1) thereunder.¹ Each of the Advertising Rule Settlements involves the improper use of testimonials on social media.

Section 206(4) generally prohibits investment advisers from engaging in fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative conduct, and Rule 206(4)-1 (the “Advertising Rule”) prohibits registered investment advisers from using false or misleading advertisements. Testimonials in advertisements are deemed *per se* misleading and the Advertising Rule prohibits registered investment advisers from including them in advertisements.² The term “testimonial” is not defined in the Advertising Rule, but the staff has consistently interpreted that term to include a “statement of a client’s experience with, or endorsement of, an investment adviser.”³

In March 2014, the Division of Investment Management published a guidance update (the “Guidance Update”) on the application of the Advertising Rule to the use of social media by investment advisers and

¹ In the Matter of Brian S. Eyster, Release No. IA-4962 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/ia-4962.pdf> (the “Eyster Settlement”); In the Matter of William M. Greenfield, Release No. IA-4961 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/ia-4961.pdf> (the “Greenfield Settlement”); In the Matter of HBA Advisors, LLC and Jaime Enrique Biel, Release No. IA-4963 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/ia-4963.pdf> (the “HBA Settlement”); In the Matter of Romano Brothers & Company, Release No. IA-4965 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/34-83613.pdf> (the “Romano Brothers Settlement”); and In the Matter of Leonard S. Schwartz, Release No. IA-4964 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/ia-4964.pdf> (the “Schwartz Settlement”).

² Rule 206(4)-1(a)(1) states: “it shall constitute a fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative act, practice, or course of business for any investment adviser registered or required to be registered under the Advisers Act, directly or indirectly, to publish, circulate, or distribute any advertisement which refers, directly or indirectly, to any testimonial of any kind concerning the investment adviser or concerning any advice, analysis, report or other service rendered by such investment adviser.”

³ See Cambiar Investors, Inc., SEC Staff No-Action Letter (pub. avail. Aug. 28, 1997).

investment adviser representatives (“IARs”).⁴ Among other things, the Guidance Update clarified that it is not permissible for an investment adviser or an IAR to invite clients to post public commentary directly on their internet site, blog or social media site that serves as an advertisement. In addition, in a September 2017 risk alert, the Office of Compliance Inspections and Examinations (“OCIE”) noted that it had observed advisers that had published statements of clients attesting to their services or otherwise endorsing the adviser that may be prohibited testimonials (e.g., client-endorsements published on firm websites and social media pages, and in pitch books and reprints of third party articles).⁵

Four of the Advertising Rule Settlements⁶ involve a registered investment adviser or an IAR hiring marketing consultant Create Your Fate, LLC (“Create Your Fate”) to contact their advisory clients and solicit testimonials for publication on their websites, Google, and social media sites, including Yelp, Facebook, and YouTube. In addition to hiring Create Your Fate to solicit client testimonials, one of the settled parties, HBA Advisors, LLC, orally solicited clients and other individuals to post testimonials on Yelp. The testimonials posted by HBA’s clients on Yelp included statements that HBA had enabled clients to purchase unique investments, protected clients’ investments from risk, helped clients generate significant investment returns, made clients feel more secure about retirement, and provided a high level of service. The HBA settlement order states that several professionals also published testimonials on HBA’s Yelp page, stating that they were comfortable referring clients to HBA because, among other things, HBA was trustworthy and had helped them increase the value of their investments.⁷ Certain of the testimonials in respect of the IARs were captioned as “Five Star Reviews,” and other testimonials indicated that the IARs were knowledgeable, trustworthy, provided a high level of service, and helped clients generate investment returns. The SEC separately settled with the owner of Create Your Fate for causing the violations of the Advertising Rule described above.⁸

In the fifth Advertising Rule Settlement, the SEC found that dually-registered investment adviser and broker-dealer Romano Brothers & Company (“Romano Brothers”) violated the Advertising Rule by publishing videos on its website and on YouTube that contained statements by its advisory clients about their experiences with the firm. The videos included statements that clients had profited from Romano Brothers’ services and that Romano Brothers’ services had provided them with income, security and peace of mind. The settlement order indicates that in determining to accept the Romano Brothers’ offer of settlement, the SEC considered remedial acts promptly undertaken by the Romano Brothers, including hiring a new Chief Compliance Officer and updating its compliance manual and training program.

⁴ IM Guidance Update No. 2014-04, Guidance on the Testimonial Rule and Social Media (Mar. 2014), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/investment/im-guidance-2014-04.pdf>.

⁵ National Exam Program Risk Alert, Volume VI, Issue 6, The Most Frequent Advertising Rule Compliance Issues Identified in OCIE Examinations of Investment Advisers (Sep. 14, 2017), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/ocie/Article/risk-alert-advertising.pdf>.

⁶ Eyster Settlement; Greenfield Settlement; HBA Settlement; Schwartz Settlement.

⁷ This is a reminder that a statement can be made by someone other than a client and still be deemed a testimonial prohibited by the Advertising Rule.

⁸ Schwartz Settlement.

Registered investment advisers should ensure that their policies and procedures are reasonably designed to comply with the Advertising Rule, and that all adviser personnel are aware of the restrictions on their use of social media under the Advertising Rule.

The Pay-to-Play Settlements

On July 10, 2018, the SEC also announced settlements (the “Pay-to-Play Settlements”) with two registered investment advisers and one exempt reporting adviser relying on the venture capital adviser exemption for violations of Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-5 (the “Pay-to-Play Rule”).⁹ The Pay-to-Play Rule prohibits investment advisers (including exempt reporting advisers) from receiving compensation for providing investment advisory services to a government entity¹⁰ for two years after the adviser, or certain of its executives or employees, makes a campaign contribution to certain elected officials or candidates who can influence the selection of that government entity’s investment advisers. The Pay-to-Play Settlements are the second wave of SEC settlements based on violations of the Pay-to-Play Rule. In January 2017, the SEC settled with ten investment advisers (five of which were exempt reporting advisers) for Pay-to-Play Rule violations.¹¹ These settlements demonstrate the SEC’s continued focus on pay-to-play enforcement cases and serve as a reminder that exempt reporting advisers are not exempt from SEC enforcement. Advisers should ensure that their compliance policies and procedures include monitoring and testing functions reasonably designed to detect and prevent violations of the Pay-to-Play Rule.

* * *

Authors:

Jessica Forbes

Stacey Song

Joanna Rosenberg

This memorandum is not intended to provide legal advice, and no legal or business decision should be based on its contents. If you have any questions about the contents of this memorandum, please call your regular Fried Frank contact or an attorney listed below:

⁹ In the Matter of EnCap Investments L.P., Release No. IA-4959 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/ia-4959.pdf>; In the Matter of Oaktree Capital Management, L.P., Release No. IA-4960 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/ia-4960.pdf>; and In the Matter of Sofinnova Ventures, Inc., Release No. IA-4958 (July 10, 2018), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2018/ia-4958.pdf>.

¹⁰ “Government entity” is defined in the Pay-to-Play Rule to include a state or a political subdivision of a state, and any agency, authority or instrumentality of the state or political subdivision.

¹¹ Press Release, U.S. Sec. & Exch. Comm’n, 10 Firms Violated Pay-to-Play Rule By Accepting Pension Fund Fees Following Campaign Contributions (Jan. 17, 2017), *available at* <https://www.sec.gov/news/pressrelease/2017-15.html>.

Contacts:

London

Gregg Beechey	+44.20.7972.9172	gregg.beechey@friedfrank.com
David Christmas	+44.20.7972.9222	david.christmas@friedfrank.com
Kate Downey	+44.20.7972.6221	kate.downey@friedfrank.com
Mark Mifsud	+44.20.7972.9155	mark.mifsud@friedfrank.com
David W. Selden	+ 44.20.7972.6201	david.selden@friedfrank.com

New York

Jonathan S. Adler	+1.212.859.8662	jonathan.adler@friedfrank.com
Lawrence N. Barshay	+1.212.859.8551	lawrence.barshay@friedfrank.com
Jeremy R. Berry	+1.212.859.8796	jeremy.berry@friedfrank.com
Gerald H. Brown, Jr.	+1.212.859.8825	gerald.brown@friedfrank.com
Jessica Forbes	+1.212.859.8558	jessica.forbes@friedfrank.com
Darren A. Littlejohn	+1.212.859.8933	darren.littlejohn@friedfrank.com
Robert M. McLaughlin	+1.212.859.8963	robert.mclaughlin@friedfrank.com
Todd J. McMullan	+1.212.859.8190	todd.mcmullan@friedfrank.com
David S. Mitchell	+1.212.859.8292	david.mitchell@friedfrank.com
Kenneth I. Rosh	+1.212.859.8535	kenneth.rosh@friedfrank.com
Lisa M. Schneider	+1.212.859.8784	lisa.schneider@friedfrank.com
Stacey Song	+1.212.859.8898	stacey.song@friedfrank.com

Washington, D.C.

Richard I. Ansbacher	+1.202.639.7065	richard.ansbacher@friedfrank.com
William J. Breslin	+1.202.639.7051	william.breslin@friedfrank.com
Walid Khuri	+1.202.639.7013	walid.khuri@friedfrank.com
Bradford R. Lucas	+1.202.639.7483	brad.lucas@friedfrank.com
Andrew P. Varney	+1.202.639.7032	andrew.varney@friedfrank.com
Rebecca N. Zelenka	+1.202.639.7260	rebecca.zelenka@friedfrank.com